DEFENDANT RILEY MITCHELL JONES DOCMET NO. 84-CR-140-BT JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER NOT BUSINESS In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date WITHOUT COUNSEL WITHOUT COUNSEL WITHOUT COUNSEL JWITHOUT COUNSEL WITHOUT COUNSEL AGRAND AGRAND AGRAND PLEA JUDGMENT AND GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, AGRAND AGRAND JUDGMENT There being a MARKER/Verdict of CXX. GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. Sections 922(h)(1), 924(a) & 1202(a)(1) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether delendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the receive committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant policy committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his sumborized representative for impronement for a period of ROBATION ORDER COUNTS 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 1. COUNTS 3,465 - Thyse (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 1. COUNTS 3,465 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the defense is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Countinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Countinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Countinement of the special confinement of the special confidence of probation probation for a vibulation assume probation period of five years granted by a sentence of probation and of the period of probation for a vibulation assume probation period of five years grantined by law, may issue a warrant. Special by probation for a vibulation assume probation period of five years grantined by law, may issue a warrant. Special by probation for a vibulation assument probation period of five years grantined by law, may issue a	United States of	f America vs.		United	States	Distri	ct Co	urt fo
In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date COUNSEL INTHOUT COUNSEL I	DEFENDANT	<u> </u>		THE	NOR: _ ERN_ I	DISTRICT	OF OKL	AHOMA
In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date WITHOUT COUNSEL		RILEY MITCHELL	JONES	l DOCH	KET NO. 🗲 📙	84-CR-140)-BT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that the five count and the defendant thereupon was substance of counsel. Name of counsel	3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	JUDGMENT A	ND PROBATI	ON/COM	MITMEN	TORDE	R AO	(245 (6/74)
PLEA GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea. GUILTY, and the court design at the defendant is discharged there is a factual basis for the plea. GUILTY, and the court design at the plea. APR 30 19 Jack C. Silver, W. S. DISTRICT Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. Sections 922(h) (1), 924(a) & 1202(a) (1) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether defendant had anything to Lay why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, disulged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The dehereby committed to the custody of the Attorney deneral on his unbroized grees entitle for impronounced may be count 2 to run concurrent with sentence imposed. Count 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed. Count 2 to run concurrent with sentence imposed. Count 3,4&5 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the deferring placed on probation for a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Count 1. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONAL of the please of the please of the please of the please of the suspended in Count 3. TI IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 and the please of the								YEAR 85
There being a Macking/verdict of Macking of the plea, APR 30 19 There being a Macking/verdict of Macking of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. DISTRICT Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. Sections 922(h) (1), 924(a) & 1202(a) (1) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why indigment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant on the curtody of the Attorney General or his authorized expresentative for imprisonment for a period of Count 1. Counts 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 1. Counts 3,465 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant of the count of the count, and the count of the count, and the count of	COUNSEL	>	have counsel appointed b	y the court and the	defendant thereup	and asked wheth on waived assista	her defendan	t desired to
The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General and the sentence imposed. SENTENCE OR PROBATION OF PRO		WITH COUNSEL					ĪL	ED
There being a Mixitog/verdict of XX_GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. Sections 922(h)(l), 924(a) & 1202(a)(l) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether delendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjuged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that. The dehereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General of his authorized representative for impresonment for a period of Count 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 1. Counts 3,465 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the defeis placed on probation for a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Count 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$5 to run concurrent with sentence of probation of as to each count is imposed for a total of \$250.00. ADDITIONAL ORDITIONAL ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$5 to run concurrent with sentence of probation as to each count is imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation probation to a violation occurring during the probation period. The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, and commitment to the Clerk a certified copy of this ju and commitment to the Saper of the Count shall or other qualified of the shall or other qualified o	PLEA			NOLO CO	ONTENDERE,	LON X	GUILTY	
Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S. Sections 922(h) (l), 924(a) & 1202(a) (l) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant point of the first court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant point of the first court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant point of the first court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and convicted and convicted and ordered that: The defendant guilty as charged and convicted and con			NOT GUIL	.TY. Defendant i	s discharged			- -
FINDING & JUDGMENT Sections 922(h) (1), 924(a) & 1202(a) (1) as charged in the five count indictment. The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of Count 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 2 to run concurrent with sentence imposed Count 1. Counts 3,4&5 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the deferming placed on probation for a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Count 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 as to each count is imposed for a total of \$250.00. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation are used of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation for a violation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant approbation for a violation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant approbation for a violation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the Ushalor of probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant approbation for a violation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the Ushalor of period of probation for a violation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the Ushalor of the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue						H. 5.	DISTRIC	T COLLDY
The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defered of the court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant points of the court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the satisfactory of the defendant pull of the court of a period of the court of a period of Count 1. Counts 1 & 2 - Five (5) years as to each count, sentence imposed Count 1. Counts 3,4&5 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant points of a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confinement. Sentence of probation imposed in Count 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 as to each count is imposed for a total of \$250.00. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF THE COUNT OF THE CO	FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted	as charged of the offens	e(s) of havin	g violate	d Title :	18, U.S	.C.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL COUNTS 3,4&5 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the defect is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) as to each count, to commence upon release from confirmement. Sentence of probation imposed in Count 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 as to each count is imposed for a total of \$250.00. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL TO A special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation for a volation for a volation of a volation of probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant a probation for a volation of a volation of count is under the U.S. Attorney Was shown, or appeared to the count adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant period of the Autorney General and recomment for a period of supposed and the defendant purposed and the defendant purposed and the defendant purposed in Count 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 as to each count is imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation and the period of within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant a probation for a volation occurring during the probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the U.S. Attorney COMMITMENT DATION ASST. U.S. Attorney	JUDGMENT	count indictmen	t.	1202 (a) (1)	as charge	ed in the	; Ilve	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary assessment of \$50 as to each count is imposed for a total of \$250.00. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set to the special conditions of p	OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the chereby committed to the custody Counts 1 & 2 -	Five (5) year Count 2 to ru Count 1. Imposition of is placed on as to each co-confinement.	s as to ea n concurre sentence probation unt, to co Sentence concurrent	ch count, nt with se is suspend for a per: mmence upo of probat:	sentence in the sentence in th	ed that: The model of imposed the defive (5) se from sed in	ed in in endant is endant years
In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation yit imposed in any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant appropriation for a violation occurring during the probation period. The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: It is ordered that the Clerk a certified copy of this judgment to the U. shall or other qualified office. Signed by Signed by	PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER (as to each cou	ORDERED that a nt is imposed	special m for a tota	onetary as 1 of \$250.	ssessment .00.	of \$	50.00
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN DATION Approved as to form: It is ordered that the Clerk a certified copy of this just and commitment to the U. shall or other qualified office SIGNED BY	CONDITIONS OF	reverse side of this judgment be i any time during the probation po	imposed. The Court may cha criod or within a maximum	inge the conditions o probation period of	of probation, reduce	e or extend the p	eriod of prob	pation, and at
••••	RECOMMEN.	Approved as to Jack Morgan	form:	ttorney General a	nd recommends,	It is ordered a certified and commi	copy of this tment to the	judgment U.S. Mar-
U.S. District Judge THOMAS R. BRETT Date 4-30-85	U.S. Distri	strate Jun	uRDre	X	·	ı		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	IN OPEN COURT APR 29 1985 10 Jack C. Silver, Clerk
vs.	U. S. DISTRICT COURT
JESS V. DIX, a/k/a "TOM",	v ·
Defendant.) No. 84-CR-72-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice COUNTS ONE and SIX of the SECOND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT, against JESS V. DIX, a/k/a "TOM", defendant.

JOHN S. MORGAN

Assistant United States Attorney

460 U. S. Courthouse

Tulsa, OK. 74103

(918) 581-7463

United States District Judge

Date: April 29, 1985

United States	f America vs.	United States	District Court fo
DEFENDANT	TESS VICTOR DIV		ISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	JESS VICTOR DIX, true name Jess Valentine Dix	DOCKET NO. > L 8	4-CR-72-05-BT
72.5	JUDGMENT AND PROBA	TION/COMMITMEN	ORDER A0/245 (0/74)
:	In the presence of the attorney for the governmenthe defendant appeared in person on this date	t	MONTH DAY YEAR 04 29 85
COUNSEL	\	t advised defendant of right to counsel an nted by the court and the defendant thereupon	
	XX WITH COUNSEL Ed Morriso	n, Appointed Counsel (Name of counsel)	EILED
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	APO 29 19 1
	There being a finding MARKED of	GUILTY. Defendant is discharged TY.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the of	it. [fense(s) of having violated	U. S. DISTRICT COURT Title 21, U.S.C.
FINDING & JUDGMENT	> Section 843(b) as charged	in the one count super	seding information.
SENTENCE OR PROBATION		ed the defendant guilty as charged and convi al or his authorized representative for imprisor	icted and ordered that: The defendant is nment for a period of the defendant shall institution for a
ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS	of the sentence in placed on probation to commence when confinement. The	is hereby suspended and ion for a period of thi the defendant is release Court further recomment treatment and supervi	the defendant is rty (30) months, sed from nds that the
OF PROBATION			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation impore reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maximprobation for a violation occurring during the probation	ly change the conditions of probation, reduce mum probation period of five years permitte	or extend the period of probation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Approved as to form: Mack Morgan Asst. U.S. Attorney	he Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY XX U.S. Dist	ict Judge		
U.S. Mag	THOMAS R. BRETT	Date 4-29-85	
142	·		

United States o	f America vs. United States	Distri	ct Co	urt for
DEFENDANT	RICHARD WAYNE LANGSTON DOCKET NO.			
	JUDGMENT AND PROSATION/COMMITMEN			245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 04	DAY 26	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupo	n waived assista		
	WITH COUNSEL Allen Smallwood, Retained Counsel (Name of counsel)			
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT	GUILTY	
	There being a finding/weight of Suilty. Defendant is discharged LXX. GUILTY.	15.55 17.55	10	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Sections 5861(d)(e) & 5871 as charged in Count o	ne 🏩 ti		.C., ctment.
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. B	55		ha canterni
!	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convinceby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprison	icted and order	ed that: The o	
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	Count 1 - Three (3) years. Defendant may become parole at such time as the U.S. Paromay determine as provided in Title 1 Section 4205(b)(2).	le Commi	ssion	.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a special monetary of \$50.00 is imposed.		•	
OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of th deferred until 11:00 a.m. on Monday, May 20, 1 the Defendant is to present himself to the des the U.S. Marshal will advise of the designated	985 at w	hich ti	me Ition
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the p	eriod of proba	ition, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	a certified and commi	d that the Cler copy of this j tment to the l er qualified off	udgment J.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY XX U.S. Distr	strate Money Korello			
14	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 4-26-85			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

RICHARD WAYNE LANGSTON,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

No. 84-CR-28-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice COUNTS TWO and THREE of the INDICTMENT, against RICHARD WAYNE LANGSTON, defendant.

KENNETH P. SNOKE

Assistant United States Attorney

460 U. S. Courthouse Tulsa, OK. 74103

(918) 581-7463

United States District Judge

Date: April 26, 1985

United Sta es of	f America vs.	_	United S	States :	Distr	ict Co	urt fo
DEFENDA IT	ROBERT LEE GARO			ERIISTE			<u>IA</u>
, —	L		DOCKE	r NO. ➤ L 85	-CR-01-	-01-C	·
	JUDGMENT AI	ND PROBAT	ION/COMM	MEMEN	T ORD	ER AO	245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorne the defendant appeared in per				момтн 04	25	YEAR 1985
COUNSEL	> WITHOUT COUNSEL		dvised defendant of rig				
	WITH COUNSEL L	Richard Ray	its, <u>retained</u> (Name	of counsel)	- '		·
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court there is a factual basis fo		LJ NOLO CON	ITENDERĒ,	LX NO	T GUILTY	
		NOT GU	LTY. Defendant is d	lischarged			
}	There being a xixolog/verdict of	of X GUILTY	,	•			
	Defendant has been convicted				Title	18, U.S	.c.,
FINDING & \	> \$\$1461, 1462 and	2252, as cha	rged in Count	ts 1, 2 a	nd 3 of	the	
	Indictment.						
					•	• .	•
	The court asked whether defenda was shown, or appeared to the c			-			-
	hereby committed to the sustady	•		_			
SENTENCE OR	THE IMPOSI	TION OF SENTE	NCE is hereby	y suspend	ed and	the	
PROBATION	Defendant is place Years as to each			riod of F	our (4)		
ORDER		·					
	IT IS FURT of \$50.00 per co	HER ORDERED thunt, for a to	nat the Defer tal of \$150.(ndant pay 00, to be	an ass	sessment vithin	•
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	one (1) year from		·	•	~		
OF		•	FI	LEC	•		
PROBATION	·	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	ha kir k			
		•	APR	25 1985			
ADDITIONAL	to addition to the special condition	one of probation imposed	shoup it is Hospith O de	Ordishor theirens	ral conditions	of probation s	et out on the
CONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special condition reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation perspective for the property of the pro	ons or probation imposed imposed. The Court may ch criod or within a maximum	nange the contracts of p n probation to the total bills		or extend the by law, may	period of prot vissue a warrar	pation, and a nt and revoke
PROBATION	probation for a violation occurring	g during the probation peri	od. U. 3. DI3	TRIOT O(A)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:
	The court orders commitment	t to the custody of the	Attorney General and	recommends,			
COMMITMENT					a certified	ed that the Cle I copy of this	judgment
RECOMMEN- DATION					1	nitment to the her qualified of	
CALIUM					<u> </u>		
SIGNED BY	,						
U.S. Distri	ict Judge		1]				
L U.S. Magis	H. Dale Coo	aleboop	Date April 25	. 1985 ₁			

United States				
DEFEN AN	JANELL RUTH VAN Y DOCKET NO. > 185	CRICT OF C		<u>A</u>
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	₹ ORDE	R 40-2	(45 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 04	DAY 25	YEAR 1985
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereup	and asked whether	r defendant ce of counsel.	desired to
	WITH COUNSEL Richard Ravits, retained (Name of counsel)			
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	LX NOT	GUILTY _.	
	There being a fanding/verdict of UILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.			
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate \$\$1461, 1462 and 2252, as charged in Counts 1, 2 a Indictment.	d Title 1 nd 3 of t	8, U.S. he	.c.,
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. E			
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and constitute to the costody of the Attorney Central or his authorized representative for imprisor. THE IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE is hereby suspended to the properties of the pr	ed and thour (4)	d of	·
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant pay of \$50.00 per count, for a total of \$150.00, to be one (1) year from this date.	paid wit	sment iin	
PROBATION	APR 25 198			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is here to oberful that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ral conditions of p or extend the per	iod of probat	ion, and at
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered t	hat the Clerk	deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		a certified co and commitm shall or other o	py of this jud ent to the U.	dgment S. Mar-
SIGNED BY	j	`		
U.S. Distri	2 1 / link			
	H. Dale Cook Date April 25, 1985			

	of America vs.	United States	District Court
DEFENDA	DAVID KEITH JOHNSTON	J LNORTHEL_DIS	TRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	JUDGMENT AND PROP		
	In the presence of the attorney for the governmenthe defendant appeared in person on this date.	ent	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the co	ourt advised defendant of right to counsel	and asked whether defendant desired t
	mare counser appr	ointed by the court and the defendant thereu 1. Zanerhaft, retained (Name of counsel)	pon waived assistance of counsel
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied tha there is a factual basis for the plea,		<u> </u>
	There being a finding/pendicx of \(\sum \text{\subset} \text{NOT} \)	GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Gioria U. S. DISTRICT COUR
INDING & IDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the s\$\$841, 846, 2 and 841(a)(1) Indictment.	offensels) 5 77 - +	d Title 21, U.S.C., I and 2 of the
	·		
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to sa was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudg hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General		
ENTENCE Or	COUNT 1 - Three (3)		·
OBATION ORDER	COUNT 2 - Three (3) is sentence imposed in Count 1 Term of Five (5) Years.	rears, to run consecuti l, together with a Spec	ive to the cial Parole
PECIAL NDITIONS OF OBATION	FURTHER, that upon the Bond, the execution of sent at 9:00 a.m., at which time institution as designated by said sentence.	ne posting of \$50,000 [ence is deferred until the defendant shall s by the U.S. Marshal in	May 23, 1985,
-			
DITIONAL IDITIONS OF DEATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imporeverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court mainly time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation	num nechation marind of C	eral conditions of probation set out on the or extend the period of probation, and at ed by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	he Attorney General and recommends,	
IMITMENT COMMEN-			It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
ED BY	ct Judge	t	

Date April 22, 1985

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	IN OPEN COURT
Plaintiff,	APR 2 2 1985
DAVID KEITH JOHNSTON and TERRY DEWAYNE WILLIAMSON,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.) No 84-CP-125-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Count Three of the Indictment against defendant DAVID KEITH JOHNSTON.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: April 22, 1985

Inited States of	America vs.	United State	s District Court f
DEFENDANT	REGINALD B. EVANS		N DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 85-CR-2-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROB	ATION/COMMITME	NE ORDER A0,245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the governmenthe defendant appeared in person on this date	ent	04 19 85
COUNSEL		ourt advised defendant of right to couns ointed by the court and the defendant ther	sel and asked whether defendant desired (eupon waived assistance of counsel.
	XX WITH COUNSEL Robert S.	Lowery, Appointed Counsel)	
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,		
	There being a finding/WWW.of LXX.1 GU	T GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COUR?
511101110	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the	offense(s) of having violat	ed Title 18, U.S.C.,
JUDGMENT (Section 1001 as charged	in Count 1 of the Indi	ctment.
OR PROBATION ORDER	Count 1 - Imposition of a placed on proba	ation for a period of	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the amount of \$5,080.00 with directed by the U.S. Prob	in the Four year proba	•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		•	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation in reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court any time during the probation period or within a maprobation for a violation occurring during the probation	may change the conditions of probation, re aximum probation period of five years per	iduce or extend the neriod of probation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of Approved as to form: Keith Ward Asst. U.S. Attorney	of the Attorney General and recommer	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY XX U.S. Distri	/ More as K	Date 4-19-85	J

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) APR 19 1985
Plaintiff,	JACK C. SHVER, CLER U.S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.)
REGINALD B. EVANS,)
Defendant.) No. 85-CR-2-Bt

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment against REGINALD B. EVANS defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested counts of the Indictment.

United States District Judge

Date: 4-19-85

Inited States of	f America vs. Un	ited States	District	Cour	t for
DEFENDANT	BETTY LOU HCCLAIN	NORTHERN 13	TRICT OF OK	LAHOHA _	L L
		DOCKET NO.	85-CR-35-	E .	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/	COMMITME	NT ORDER	AO-245 (6	/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH 4-		85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defended have counsel appointed by the court with the court advised defended have counsel appointed by the court advised have counsel appointed have counsel appoint	irt and the defendant thereu			
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,				
	There being a finding/ GUILTY. De Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of	fendant is discharged Ü.	ack C. Silver, U S. DISTRICT CO	erk)URT	
FINDING & JUDGMENT (Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of Section 495, as charged in counts 1	having violated and 2 of the	ted Title 15 Indictment	3, U.S.C.	• •
	'				
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgmen was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defenda hereby committed to the castod, of the Atlanta Series and the court and the court and the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court adjudged the cou	int guilty as charged and co	invicted and ordered th	hat: The defend	
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	COUNTS 1 & 2 - The imposition of se defendant is hereby period of THREE (3) 2 to run concurrent1 count 1.	placed on prof	etion for a	k Dunt	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant is Ordered to make restit in payments as determined by the Pr	ution in the a obstion office	amount of \$3	357.00,	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the county time during the probation period or within a max mum probation probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	onditions of probation, redu	ice or extend the period	d of probation, a	and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney	General and recommend	It is ordered that a certified copy	at the Clerk delivy of this judgment to the U.S. Malalified officer.	nt
SIGNED BY U.S. Distri	The state of the s				
U.S. Magis	James O. Ellison Date	4-19-85	_] _]		

Entered

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA APR 18 1995

UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA,)		JAUN C. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICȚ COURT
	Plaintiff,	**************************************		
vs.	-)))	No.	85-CR-5-C
TERRY I	DEWAYNE WILLIAMSON,	ý		•
· ·	Defendant.)	-	

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes now before the Court by agreement of the parties for nonjury trial of defendant Terry Dewayne Williamson, who is charged by information, filed February 12, 1985, of violating Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1) and Title 18 United States Code Section 922(h). Defendant was originally indicted January 9, 1985, such indictment dismissed by order of February 13, 1985. Based on the stipulated facts, designated transcript, and briefs of the parties submitted herein, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court enters the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

On December 12, 1984, Cindy Cunningham, an agent of the Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics, was one of several state agents who accompanied Deputy U. S. Marshals to defendant Terry Dewayne

Williamson's rural residence, two miles west of Depew, Creek County, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

The officers were there to serve a Warrant of Arrest on the defendant issued from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma in criminal case No. 84-CR-125, in which the defendant was under indictment with another defendant for felony drug violations punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The warrant was for review of defendant's bail conditions, which the government alleged had been violated.

During the arrest of defendant, agent Cunningham noted some firearms in plain view within the residence, as well as scales, baggies, and other equipment commonly used in drug trafficking. On the initial viewing of these items by the agent, the defendant was on the front porch of the residence. A search warrant was obtained from a U. S. Magistrate in Tulsa and executed at the residence later in the evening on December 12, 1984, at which time the firearms, drugs, scales, baggies, and other drug paraphernalia, charged in the Information were found and seized.

On October 25, 1984, agent Cunningham recovered a document from a motel room in Tulsa, Oklahoma, recently occupied by Williamson's co-defendant in criminal case No. 84-CR-125, being one David Keith Johnston, a resident of Alabama, the document reflecting that Johnston had sold to Williamson on that date, the shotgun described in No. 1, of Count Two, of the Information.

Tim Harvey, a police officer employed by the city of Florence, Alabama, previously owned the Remington shotgun

mentioned in No. 1, of Count Two, of the Information, and pawned it at a pawnbroker's in Florence, Alabama on November 10, 1983.

Bob White, a special agent with the U. S. Treasury Department, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, stationed at Tulsa, Oklahoma, qualified on the identification of various types of firearms, including their place of manufacture, found that none of the firearms listed in Count Two of the Information are now and never have been manufactured in the State of Oklahoma. Remington firearms are manufactured in Bridgeport, Connecticut; Marlin in New Haven, Connecticut; Chart Arms in Stratford, Connecticut; and Smith and Wesson in Springfield, Massachusetts.

On January 10, 1985, Terry Burgess, qualified as a forensic chemist employed by the Oklahoma Bureau of Investigation, conducted an examination of the drugs seized from defendant's residence on December 12, 1984, and analyzed one-fourth gram of 73 percent amphetamine, a Schedule II, non-narcotic, controlled drug.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to Title
 18 United States Code §3231.
- 2. Venue properly lies within this federal judicial district pursuant to F.R.Cr.P.18.

Count 1 of the Information -- Violation of Title 21 United

States Code Section 841(a)(1) -- Possession of Amphetamine with

Intent to Distribute

1. In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title
21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1), the government must

prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That a defendant knowingly and willfully possessed a quantity of amphetamine as charged;

Second: That a defendant possessed amphetamine with the intent to distribute it; and

Third: That a defendant did so knowingly and intentionally.

2. In accordance with the findings of fact herein recited, the Court finds the defendant herein, Terry Dewayne Williamson, is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the offense alleged in Count 1 of the information in that he did, at or about the time alleged in the information, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, violate Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1), and a judgment of guilty as to Count 1 should be entered.

Count 2 of the Information -- Violation of Title 18 United

States Code Section 922(h) -- Receipt of Firearm While Under

Indictment

1. In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title 18 United States Code Section 922(h), the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That the defendant was under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, as alleged in the information;

Second: That the defendant thereafter knowingly received a firearm as alleged in the information; and

Third: That the firearm had previously been shipped or transported in interstate commerce.

- 2. In accordance with the findings of fact herein recited, the Court finds the defendant herein, Terry Dewayne Williamson, is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the offense alleged in Count 2 of the information, in that he did, at or about the time alleged in the information, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, violate Title 18 United States Code Section 922(h), and a judgment of guilty as to Count 2 should be entered.
- 3. Judgment of guilty as to both Counts 1 and 2 of the information should and will be entered accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ______ day of April, 1985.

H. DALE COOK

Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

Entered

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 193 1835

JACK C. SILVER. CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

No. 84-CR-125-C

DAVID KEITH JOHNSTON and
TERRY DEWAYNE WILLIAMSON,

Defendants.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes now before the Court by agreement of the parties for nonjury trial of defendant Terry Dewayne Williamson, who is charged by indictment, filed November 8, 1984, of violating Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1), 846, 952(a) and Title 18 United States Code Section 2. Defendant Williamson's co-defendant, David Keith Johnston, pleaded guilty to violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18 United States Code Section 2, on February 19, 1985. Sentencing is now set for April 22, 1985, at which time the government plans to dismiss the last count of the indictment regarding violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 952(a).

FINDINGS OF FACT

David Keith Johnston, a freelance pilot, rented a Piper Cherokee Lance single-engine aircraft, number N3054D, from Mr.

John Riddle, owner of a company in Alabama, on several dates in 1984: July 12, September 13-15, September 19, September 29, and October 5. Johnston told Mr. Riddle he used the plane to fly real estate customers and that on one occasion he had flown the plane to Mexico. These rental dates by Johnston were recorded in the aircraft log book kept in the plane.

On September 24, 1984, Mr. Riddle consented to the installation of a law enforcement transponder on this plane. The transponder was so placed at the direction of the U. S. Customs and Drug Enforcement Administration officials, who suspected the plane's usage for drug smuggling. A transponder emits a signal which causes a law enforcement four-digit code to appear on a radar screen.

David Keith Johnston and defendant Terry Dewayne Williamson were seen together in the N3054D airplane on July 17, 1984, by Kedrin Deetz, an employee of Tulsa Piper Aircraft Company at Riverside Airport, located in the Northern District of Oklahoma in Tulsa. As he gassed the plane, defendant and Johnston introduced themselves as being from Alabama. Four days later, they returned together and paid for fuel and tie-down fees.

On September 14, 1984, Johnston refueled the N3054D plane at Tulsa Piper and Deetz showed him how to operate a VOR device on the plane. Deetz saw neither man until October 5, 1984, when he saw them on the ground near the plane wearing handcuffs after their arrest.

Mr. Bob Clark, another Tulsa Piper employee at Riverside Airport, had gassed the N3054D on September 30, 1984, and had

seen the plane on September 14, 1984, at which time it had the passengers' seats removed and two men were unloading brown boxes from it.

On October 5, 1984, at about 9:00 a.m., Ron Brace, a U. S. Customs Service Communications Supervisor, stationed at Houston, Texas, a communications specialist in aircraft tracking and identification, tracked, by radar image, the N3054D plane travelling southbound. The plane was last seen on radar about thirty miles southwest of Fort Stockton, Texas at about 9:35 a.m. The signal being sent by the plane and picked up by Mr. Brace was transmitted on the law enforcement transponder by a confidentially numbered signal used only by aircraft suspected of illegal flying missions, including drug smuggling.

At about 1:02 p.m. on October 6, 1984, the Customs' radar at Houston began receiving a law enforcement transponder signal from a plane about 70 miles east of Fort Stockton, Texas, on a north-bound course. At that time, the identity of the plane had not been determined, but U. S. Customs' pilots Gerald Weatherman and Mr. Charles Miller commenced pursuit from San Antonio, Texas. Weatherman and Miller did locate and identify a northbound aircraft sending a law enforcement transponder signal, and did so locate and identify N3054D near Ardmore, in southern Oklahoma, at about 3:30 p.m. Several brown cardboard boxes were visible inside the plane. The plane changed altitude and direction frequently, but continued on a general course toward Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Gerald Weatherman, a U. S. Customs' pilot stationed at San Antonio, Texas, qualified by service in the United States Air Force as a bomber pilot, who has flown several dozen tracking and surveillance missions for the U. S. Customs Service during investigations of aerial smuggling from Mexico and other Central and South American areas into the United States, is also familiar with different types of packaging of marijuana being smuggled into the United States from Mexico. Some is smuggled in brown cardboard boxes.

At 3:50 p.m., the Customs' pilots established radio contact with agents of the Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics in Tulsa and requested their assistance in detaining the aircraft.

Cindy Cunningham, an agent of the Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics, was one of the state agents assisting the U. S. Customs pilots in the case. She went to Riverside Airport on October 6, 1984, at about 4 p.m. after establishing radio contact with the Customs' plane. Malcom Atwood and Agent Buel Culver of the OSBI were also in attendance. These agents saw defendant Williamson alone in a black pickup truck parked close to a taxiway.

Gerald Weatherman reported that N3054D landed at Tulsa Riverside Airport at about 4:12 p.m. and that the Customs' plane he was in landed immediately behind it. N3054D stopped briefly after landing, then started to taxi toward a hangar area following a black Ford pickup, driven by defendant Williamson. Ms. Cunningham observed Williamson turn the truck and start for the hangar area as soon as N3054D had landed and taxied toward him. The plane was stopped short of the hangar by agents Atwood and

Cunningham, who, upon opening a door into the plane, smelled marijuana. Pilot Johnston was arrested, and defendant Williamson, seen near the hangar door, was arrested at the truck by Agent Culver.

The plane had the passenger seats out of it, and was loaded with 20 brown cardboard boxes containing what appeared to be and smelled like marijuana.

Agent Weatherman assisted in searching N3054D and listing the contents. Several air navigation charts and papers with navigation information contained on them were in the plane, some of which indicated Fort Stockton, Texas, and a designated ranch some distance north of Nuevo Casas Grandes, Mexico.

Agent Malcom Atwood conducted a warrantless search of the pickup truck for contraband and discovered a small amount of marijuana and a quantity of hashish oil, also a form of marijuana. In addition, the seats, identified as from the plane, were found in hangar 12 at the airport, a key to which was found on defendant Williamson's keychain.

Terry Burgess, forensic chemist for the Oklahoma Bureau of Investigation, an expert on drug identification, found the 20 boxes from the plane contained a total of about 500 pounds of marijuana, a Schedule I, non-narcotic, controlled substance, and that the leafy materials and tar-like oil recovered from the pickup also were marijuana.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §3231.

2. Venue properly lies within this federal judicial district pursuant to F.R.Cr.P. 18.

States Code Section 841, in Violation of 21 United States Code
Section 846 - Conspiracy.

1. In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title 21 United States Code Sections 841 and 846, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That there was an agreement, willfully formed, between two or more persons as charged in the indictment, to work in concert to perform the unlawful objectives of possessing with intent to distribute and to distribute marijuana, a Schedule I non-narcotic controlled substance;

Second: That the accused on trial willfully became a member of the conspiracy existing at or about the time alleged, knowing of its unlawful purpose;

Third: That one or more of the defendants or co-conspirators knowingly committed at least one overt act as charged in the indictment; and

Fourth: That such overt act was knowingly done to further some object or purpose of the conspiracy.

2. In accordance with the findings of fact herein recited, the Court finds the defendant herein, Terry Dewayne Williamson, is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the offense alleged in Count 1 of the indictment in that he did, at or about the time alleged in the indictment, in the Northern District of Oklahoma,

violate Title 21 United States Code Section 841, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 846.

Count 2 of the Indictment -- Violation of Title 21 United

States Code Section 841(a)(1) and Title 18 United States Code

Section 2 -- Possession of Marijuana with Intent to Distribute

and Aiding and Abetting.

1. In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1), the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That a defendant knowingly and willfully possessed a quantity of marijuana as charged;

Second: That a defendant possessed marijuana with the intent to distribute it; and

Third: That a defendant did so knowingly and intentionally.

2. In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title 18 United States Code Section 2, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That a defendant committed the act or acts of aiding, abetting, counselling or procuring the commission of the crime of possessing marijuana with intent to distribute same, as charged in Count 2 of the indictment; and

Second: That a defendant did such act or acts willfully.

3. In accordance with the findings of fact herein recited, the Court finds the defendant herein, Terry Dewayne Williamson, is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the offenses alleged in

Count 2 of the indictment in that he did, at or about the time alleged in the indictment, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, violate Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1) and Title 18 United States Code Section 2.

Count 3 of the Indictment -- Violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 952(a) --- Importation of Marijuana.

In order to establish the offense proscribed by Title 21 United States Code Section 952(a), the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

That a defendant committed an act or acts of First: importing a Schedule I non-narcotic controlled substance, marijuana, into the United States; and

That such act or acts were knowingly and inten-Second: tionally done by a defendant.

- 2. In accordance with the findings of fact herein recited, the Court finds the government has not proved beyond a reasonable doubt the essential elements of the offense charged in Count 3 of the indictment, violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 952(a).
- Judgment of Guilty as to both Counts 1 and 2 and a Judgment of Acquittal as to Count 3 only should and will be entered accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 18 day of April, 1985.

Inited States of	A marica vo	United St	ates I	Distri	et Co	ourt fo
DEFENDANT	DANA REBEK MILLER	NORTHER	N (STR)	CT_07	OKLAHO	<u> </u>
	L	DOCKET NO	o. ▶ 8	5-CR-34-	-E	
	JUDGMENT AND PRO	BATION/COMMIT	TMENT	ORDE	ER AC) - 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the govern the defendant appeared in person on this date	ment	[MONTH 4	17	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	have counsel a	court advised defendant of right to ppointed by the court and the defend H. Steltzlen, Ct. (Name of	Apptd.	waived assista	ince of coun	nt desired to sel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea,	that LJ NOLO CONTE	NDERE,		le di Plan	•
	There being a finding/ @# t of S	OT GUILTY. Defendant is discl	narged	Jack (U.S. D	C. Silver, ISTRICT (Clerk COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of t Section 395, as charged 1	he offense(s) of having w	iolated f the I	Title :	18, V. nt.	\$.C.,
SENTENCE OR		diadred the defendant guilty as char	ged and convi-	cted and order	red that: The Karaka And the Caraka	ि छटा देश वश्चा
PROBATION ORDER	period o	f FIVE (5) YEARS for the run concurrently	rom this	s date,	Count	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant is ordered to a month for fifty-two wonth	nake restitution in is and one payment	paymen of \$23.	ts of \$	20,00	•
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Colany time during the probation period or within a probation for a violation occurring during the probation.	urt may change the conditions of prof maximum probation period of five				
	The court orders commitment to the custod	y of the Attorney General and re	commends,		ed that the (
				a certifice	д сору от аг	is judgment
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION				and comm	nitment to the record of the r	is judgment he U.S. Mar-
RECOMMEN- DATION	trict Judge			and comm	nitment to t	is judgment he U.S. Mar-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PEN COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APR 1 7 1985

UNITED STATES OF AM	ERICA,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Plain	tiff,	t = "U. S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.	Ter ,	
DANA RENEE MILLER,	į	
Defen	dant.	No. 85-CR-34-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One, Three, and Five of the Indictment against DANA RENEE MILLER, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

.

Date: 4-17-85

United State	es of America vs. JAMES 1. JHARD BEHNKE	nited		s Distr		
DEFENDANT				-CR-53-E	+	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/			,	A	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government	COMINIA Services		монтн	DAY	YEAR
COUNSEL	the defendant appeared in person on this date WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendance.					85 esired to have
	counsel appointed by the court and to John L. Bryant,		ained)	d assistance of	counsel	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that LLING there is a factual basis for the plea,	OLO CONTEND	ï	NOT GUI	LTY	
	There being a finding/次数域式 of	ant is discharged		•		
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of his \$506(b) and Title 18 U.S.C., \$2319(Information					.C.,
".				• •		
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment s was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant hereby CHARLES WELLE WARKEN WAR	t guilty as charged ************************************	i and convice XXXXXXX and sp	ted and order ***********************************	ed that: The Xof SSESSM	e defendant is ent
SPECIAL			F 1	L E		
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION				C. Silver, C ISTRICT C		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is neverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the cat any time during the probation period or within a maximum probat revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	onditions of proba	ition, reduce	or extend the	period of p	robation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney Gen	eral and recomn	nends,	a certified and commi	that the C copy of thi tment to the r qualified o	s judgment e U.S. Mar-
. v	trict Judge Agistrate Date	4-]2-85				

United State	JOHN APER		S District Court for
DEFENDANT		I DOCKET NO.	Enterd
,	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	ON/COMMITME	NT ORDER A() 245 (9:82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the co	d defendant of right to counsel and a purt and the defendant thereupon waive d. Echols (Retained)	sked whether defendant desired to have ad assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL	(Name of Counsel)	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	I NOLO CONTENDERE, L	I NOT GUILTY
FINDING & JUDGMENT	There being a finding Aradict of Line NOT GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) \$505(b) and Title 10 0.5.6., Superseding information.	Defendant is discharged s) of having violated \$2319(b)(3) as cher	:1110 17 V.S.C., Hea 13 the
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why juries shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the chereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the chereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the chereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the chereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the court adjudged the court adjudged the court, the court adjudged the cou	lefendant guilty as charged and convi information switcheresen as the formation of the same and	cted and ordered that: The defendant i encrora periodion * a special
		o grill	ED
SPECIAL CONDITIONS		APR	121985
OF PROBATION		Jack C. U. S. DIS	Silver, Clerk TRICT COMRT
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed aboreverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may chan at any time during the probation period or within a maximum revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ge the conditions of probation, reduc n probation period of five years perr	e or extend the period of probation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attor	ney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
ر			CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY	trict Ludge		THIS DATE
	trict Judge		Ву
U.S.Ma			James ()CLERK
	y energy to the second of the second	Date	L DEPUTY

Entered

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APR 12 1085

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

JOHN HARPER,

vs.

Defendant.

JACK C. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 85-CR-54-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice the original three-count Information only against JOHN HARPER, defendant.

> LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States, Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date: april 12, 1985

Colled

FILLI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 1985 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA SILVER COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RONNIE MARION SEHON,

Defendant.) No. 85-CR-21

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Count One of the Information against RONNIE MARION SEHON, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date: April 5, 1985

· Enterted

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

RONALD NEAL GARNER,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-25

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One and Five of the Indictment against RONALD NEAL GARNER, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date:

Extelled

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARY KATHRYN SULLIVAN,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-18

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Count One of the Information against MARY KATHRYN SULLIVAN, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date: 4-8-85

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,	,
vs.	Ì
LARRY EUGENE SEHON,	Ś
Defendant.) No. 85-CR-30

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One, Two, Three, Four and Nine of the Indictment against LARRY EUGENE SEHON, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date:

Entered

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE A TOUR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	APR 5 1985
Plaintiff,	1.0k (0.3) (1. S. 8)(s., 0.5)
vs.	
JEANNINE A. ROBINSON,	
Defendant.) No. 85-CR-48-B

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United
States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby
moves to dismiss without prejudice the Indictment against JEANNINE
A. ROBINSON defendant for the reason that the defendant has been
placed in a pre-trial diversion program and has waived her right
to a speedy trial.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested counts of the Indictment without prejudice.

5/ THOMAS R. BRETT

United States District Judge

Date: April 5, 1985

United State	s of America vs. RONALL EAL GARNER NORTH N			- /	
DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO.		35-CR-25		
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMIT	MEI	NT OR	DER AG) 245 (9/82
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH 4	day 5	YEA 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counse				ed to ha
	counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereup X WITH COUNSEL Larry Gullekson (Retaine (Name of Counsel)	<u>a)</u>	assistance of	counsel) ———
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that LINOLO CONTENDERE there is a factual basis for the plea,	•	Joek G. St	Jyst, Wa	
	There being a finding XXXXXX of X GUILTY. Defendant is discharged		j. S. Disti	ig com	7
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having viola \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) as charged in C the Indictment	ted ! ount:	Fitle 16 s 2, 3,	U.S.C. and 4 o	ſ
			•		
SENTENCE OR	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronour was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an hereby committed to the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an adjudged the defendant guilty as charged an adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and the court guilty as charged and the	d convic 死來來	ted and ordere	ed that: The de	
PROBATION Order	Ordered to pay a fine of \$1,000.00 on Count 2 Count 3, and \$1,000.00 on Count 4, for a total \$3,000.00, and assessment of \$25.00 on Count Count 3 and \$25.00 on Count 4, for a total as \$75.00. Fine and Assessment to be paid on or April 8, 1985	l fin 2, \$2 sessm	ne of 25,00 on nent of	•	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS					
OF PROBATION					٠
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that th reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five yearevoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	r, reduce	or extend the	period of prob	ation, a
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommen	ds,	a certified of and commit	that the Clerk copy of this jument to the U qualified offic	idgment .S. Mar-
SIGNED BY					
U.S. Dist					
U.S. Mag	Date 4-5-85	 			

United State	s of America vs. RONNIE MION SEHON	À	S District Court to
DEFENDANT			-CR-21-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATIO	N/COMMITME	NT ORDER A0-245 (9/82
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the cou	rt and the defendant thereupon waive	
	LX WITH COUNSEL Larry C	ullekson (Retained (Name of Counsel)	TEE
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,		APR 5 1983
	There being a finding/X的就式 of X GUILTY. D	Pefendant is discharged	S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) a Information.	of having violated	Title 16, U.S.C.
·••		en e	
ر			
oruzenor .	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judg was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the def hereby 透過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過過	fendant guilty as charged and convi-	cted and ordered that: The defendant
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	ordered to pay a fine in the amo assessment inthe amount of \$25.0 on or before April 8, 1985	ount of \$1,000 as t 00, fine and assess	o Count 2 and ment to be paid
- "		en e	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS			
OF PROBATION	in the Community of the		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change at any time during the probation period or within a maximum revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation per	the conditions of probation, reduce probation period of five years perm	or extend the period of probation, an
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN-	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorne	ey General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
DATION		e de la companya de	shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	rict Judge		
U.S. Ma	I way		1
		4-5-85	• -

. . . .

ited States	of America vs. LARRY GENE SERON NORTH	States District Court for
FENDANT		- 175-03-30-0
J	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMM	ITMENT ORDER AO 245 (9:82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the court and the defendant the light with counsel	060)
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that here is a factual basis for the plea,	APR 9 1005
	There being a finding/verdict of There being a finding/verdict of GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.	MA MARKANA
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having viso 3372(a)(I)(A) and 3373(a)(B) as charged of the Indictment	olated Fitle 16 d.S.c. in Counts 5, 8, 7 and p
ENTENCE OR ROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be provided by the property of the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged by committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative on Count 6, \$1,000.00 on Count 7, \$2,000.00 on Count 7, \$2,000.00 total fine of \$5,000.00, and assessment of \$15.00 on Count 7, and fine and assessment to be paid on or before	ged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant for imprisonment for a period of .oo on Count 5, \$1,000.00 25.00 on Count 5, \$25.00 on Count 5.
SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF		
HUBATIUN		
DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of prat any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	obation, reduce or extend the period of probation,
DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF PROBATION	reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of proat any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of	obation, reduce or extend the period of probation, five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant mmends, It is ordered that the Clerk delive a certified copy of this judgmen
PROBATION DIMMITMENT ECOMMEN- DATION CNED BY	reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of prat any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	mmends, It is ordered that the Clerk delive a certified copy of this judgmen and commitment to the U.S. Mai shallor other qualified officer.

		UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT DISTRICT		
UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA,)		APR - 5 1985
		Plaintiff,) }		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
vs.)		U. S. DISTRICT COURT
HOLLIS	CAVNER	,)		
		Defendant.	Ś	No.	84-CR-128-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment against Hollis Cavner, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

(Signed) H. Dale Cook

United States District Judge

Date: 4-5-85

nited States of	America vs. United States	s Distri	ct Cou	rt for
DEFENDANT	HOLLIS E. CAVNER DOCKET NO.			
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITME	NT:ORDE	R	15 16/741
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 4	DAY 5	YEAR 1985
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counse have counsed appointed by the court and the defendant there X WITH COUNSEL John Klenda, appointed (Name of counsel)	eupon waived assista ————————————————————————————————————	ner defendant nce of counsel.	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that here is a factual basis for the plea,		(BERILTY 198	. 1
	There being a finding/versixt of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack U: S:	C. Silver, District (сонит Сонит
FINDING &	\$1014, as charged in Count 3 of the Indictment.			
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and hereby committed to the custody of the Attornoy General or his authorized representative for im-	prisonment for a pe	riod of	ne contrary efend ant is
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	COUNT THREE (3) - The imposition of hereby suspended and the Defendant is placed of for a period of Five (5) Years.	sentence n probatio	n n	
	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defe a fine unto the United States of America in th Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00).	ndant shal e amount c	l pay f Five	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defe restitution to the Valley National Bank in the \$29,925.00, in such amounts and at such times the Probation Office.	amount of		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, really time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years per probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	e general conditions educe or extend the rmitted by law, may	of probation se period of proba issue a warrant	t out on the tion, and at and revoke
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recomme	It is order a certified and comm	ed that the Cler I copy of this j nitment to the t ner qualified off	udgment J.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY X U.S. Dist	A Company			

United State		ates Disti		
DEFENDANT	CHARL KEITH GIBSON NORTE N D	ISTRICT OF	OKLAHOM	A!
———	DOCKET NO.	85-CR-14-	·C	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITM	ENT OR	DER AO 2	145 (9-82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 4	5 5	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel an counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon w			i to have
	Larry Gullekson (Retained) (Name of Counsel)			
PLEA	TI GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	LINOT GUI APR	LTY O 1985	-
	There being a finding/verdxxof \(\text{\textsum} \text{\text{\text{UILTY}}} \text{\text{GUILTY}}. \text{Defendant is discharged}	100 A C. S. C. S. DISTE	lver, Clerk 197 Giver	,
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) as charged in the	ed Title l e Informat	6 U.S.C.	
j			e sign	
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and concepts any accompanies with the same of \$500.00 and sum of \$25.00	onvicted and order	ed that: The defo	
		.*		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION				
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the geneverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, recat any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years prevoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	duce or extend the	period of probat	ion, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	a certified and commi	that the Clerk of this juden to the U.S qualified officer	gment . Mar-
SIGNED BY U.S. Dist	gistrate 4-5-85	J		

United State	s of America vs. RANY GEN. SEHON		es District Court for TRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	<u> </u>	DOCKET NO.	85-CR-15-C
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATIO	N/COMMITME	NT ORDER AO-245 (9/82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 4 5 85
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the cour	t and the defendant thereupon waiv Gullekson (Retain	i e
PLEA	L_XJ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	INOLO CONTENDERE, L_	NOT GUILTY APR 1985
	There being a finding for religion of X Guilty.	efendant is discharged	John C. Silver, Class G. S. District Control
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) or \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) in the Information	of having violated and Title 18 U.S.C	l T. 16 U.S.C. C. §2 as charged
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgr was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defe hereby 突厥克波克太安安安斯斯斯氏体系式测压器系列的形式形式	endant guilty as charged and conv	icted and ordered that: The defendant is
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	ordered to pay a fine in the sur sum of \$25.00. Fine and assess 1985	m of \$250.00 and a ment to be paid or	assessment in the n or before July 5,
:			en e
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 .	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change at any time during the probation period or within a maximum prevoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	the conditions of probation, reduc probation period of five years per	ce or extend the period of probation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorne	y General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
	,		
SIGNED BY	Λ		•
U.S. Dist	trict Judge		1
<u></u> U.S. Ма	gistrate	4-5-85	۔ ن

United States	s of America vs. MARY KAL N SULLIVAN	Unite NOR1	States N DIST	District RICT OF O	Court for KTAHOMA
DEFENDANT		d DOCKET NO.	85-	CR-18-E	J
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATIO	N/COMMI	rmen	T ORDE	R AO 245 (9/82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		M	onth D/ 4 5	,
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised counsel appointed by the court acry Gu	defendant of right to cour rt and the defendant thereu llekson (Reta	ipon waiyed as	I whether defenda sistance of counse	int desired to have I.
=	X WITH COUNSEL	(Name of Couns	el) 4 - 4		
PLEA	CUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	I NOLO CONTENDE	RE,	HOT CUILTY 1985	A.
- 1000 - Asses	There being a finding/X破域区 of X CURTY	Defendant is discharged	Jack U.S. I	C. Silver, Cla DISTRICT CO	
FINOING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) > \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) and Information	of having viol	ated ${f T}_{f lpha}$	16, U.S. of the	С.,
			st i		
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judges shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the de hereby count which was known kno	fendant guilty as charged XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	and convicted MANASAMENT 30 on Co	l and ordered that for aperiod of ount 2 and	: The defendant is
			•		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS					
OF PROBATION			·		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may chang at any time during the probation period or within a maximum revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation per	e the conditions of probat probation period of five	ion, reduce or	extend the period	d of probation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorn	ey General and recomm		It is ordered that a certified copy of and commitment shal or other qualif	of this judgment to the U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY)				
v	trict Judge				
U.S. Ma		yate 4-5-85			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APR 9 1985

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

US. DESCRIPTION

Vs.

GEORGE LEE HUNT, et al.,)

Defendants.) No. 85-CR-33

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Count Three of the Indictment against GEORGE LEE HUNT, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Maura Messon United States District Judge

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

APR 5 1985

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

U. S. DISTRICT COTAT

Defendants.

No. 85-CR-33

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One and Three of the Indictment against ALLEN RAY HUNT, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judgev

Date:

United States of A	America vs.	United States D	District Court for CCT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	KEITH ALAH LAUGHLIN	DOCKET NO.	-CR-8-01-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	ION/COMMITMENT	ORDER AO-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 4 4 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court a have counsel appointed. WITH COUNSEL John Tanner.	dvised defendant of right to counsel and by the court and the defendant thereupon value (Name of counsel)	asked whether defendant desired to waived assistance of counsel
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that	NOLO CONTENDERE,	NO468irt4 1986
	there is a factual basis for the plea, There being a finding/varian of GUILTY	ILTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offe Section 844(1), as charged is	USG(2) Of CHRATMS ATCREAS	Title 18, U.S.CV, tment.
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General	the detendant guilty as charged and convic	ted and ordered that: The doctions in
SENTENCE OR	COUNT 3 - THREE (3) YEARS		
PROBATION ORDER	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parole at such time as the Perprovided in T. 18, U.S.C., See	trole Commission may de	e eligible for etermine de
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the payable bywnay 6, 1985.	e defendant pay an as:	sessment of \$50.00,
PROBATION	,		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation impose reverse-side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	um probation period of five years permitted	al conditions of probation set out on th or extend the period of probation, and a I by law, may issue a warrant and revok
COMMITMENT	The court orders commitment to the custody of the placement for treatment of a	e Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
RECOMMEN- DATION			shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	rict Judge		
L U.S. Magi	James O. Ellison	Date 4-4-85	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VERL COCHRAN,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-17-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss without prejudice the Information against VERL COCHRAN, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge
magnistrate

Date: April 1, 1985

United States of	America vs.	Inited States I	District Court for
	<u> </u>	THE NORT. RN DIS	TRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	WALTER LEE JACKSON	DOCKET NO. > 184-	CR-96-01-BT
e transfer Space	JUDGMENT AND PROPERTION	N/COMMITMENT	ORDER 40,245 (6/74).
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	-	MONTH DAY YEAR 04 04 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised have counsel appointed by the	defendant of right to counsel and the court and the defendant thereupon	asked whether defendant desired to waived assistance of counsel.
	LXX) WITH COUNSEL Larry Gullekson	, Retained Counsel (Name of counsel)	
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,	J NOLO CONTENDERE,	NOT GUILTY
	There being a floating verdict of LXX GUILTY.	. Defendant is discharged	
FINDING & JUDGMENT (Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) Section 843(a)(3) as charged a		-1-1-7-1
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why jud was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the de hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his a	fendant guilty as charged and convic	ted and ordered that: The defendant is
SENTENCE OR Probation Order	Count 2 - Three (3) years. Det parole at such time a determine as provided	as the U.S. Parole	Commission may
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	It is further ordered that the until ll:00 a.m. on May 24, 198 present himself to the designated advise defendant of designated	85 at which time th ted institution. U	e defendant is to
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change any time during the probation period or within a maximum proprobation for a violation occurring during the probation period.		
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Atto the defendant be considered for Long Beach Terminal Island. Approved as to form:	orney General and recommends, placement at	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	Jack Morgan Asst. U.S. Attorney	Т	rue & correct copy. y: H. Overten
U.S. Mag		4-4-85	Deputy
. 44			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

WALTER DEAN JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 84-CR-96-Bt

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice COUNTS ONE and THREE of the SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT, against WALTER DEAN JACKSON, defendant.

JACK MORGAN

Assistant United States Attorney

460 U. S. Courthouse Tulsa, OK. 74103

(918) 581-7463

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: April 4, 1985

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE -4 1985 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

V.S. DISTANT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARK LEE SHUMATE,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-11-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One, Four and Five of the Information against MARK LEE SHUMATE, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States Magistrate

Date: April 3, 1985

ited States of A			District Court for the Court for OKLAHONA
FENDANT	ROBERT EARL HAILEY	l DOCKET NO. > L	4-CR-113-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	ION/COMMITMEN	T ORDER AO 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	have counsel appointed	dvised defendant of right to counsel at by the court and the defendant thereupo [scher, Ct. Apptd. (Name of counsel)	n waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	NOT GUILTY
	There being a finding/vertex of UNITY GUILTY	ILTY. Defendant is discharged	
INDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer Title 641, as charged in the	nse(s) of white the second of	f Title 18, W.S.C.,
IUDGMENT	•		
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say w was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged	the defendant quilty as charged and con-	victed and ordered mar. Interested
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The imposition of sentence is placed on probation for a per date.	ausnended and the d	efendant is hereby
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant is Purther Ordered \$1,153.50, in payments as det	to make restitution termined by the Proba	in the amount of tion office.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposes reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maximu probation for a violation occurring during the probation pe	am probation period of five years permit	neral conditions of probation set out on we or extend the period of probation, and ted by law, may issue a warrant and revo
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	e Attorney General and recommends	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION			and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
IGNED BY U.S. Distr	THE STATE OF THE S	Commence of the commence of th	ł

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ROBERT EARL HAILEY,

Defendant.

No. 84-CR-113

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United
States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby
moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts one through eight of the
Indictment against ROBERT EARL HAILEY defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested counts of the Indictment.

United States District Judge

Date: April 3, 1985

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	arK −2 1385
UNITED STRIES OF AMERICA,	ALEMAN MEMORY CONTROL
Plaintiff,	The Spring Class
vs.	
PATRICIA ARNOLD,	
Defendant.) No. 85-CR-49-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United
States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby
moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the
Indictment against PATRICIA ARNOLD defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Jnited States District Judge

Date: april 2, 1985

)	America vs.			N	ORTHERN I	s Disti		
EFENDANT	KARBN	DENISE DO	DWALD		DOCKET NO.	85-CR-6)-E	
	JUDG	MENT A	ND PROBA	TION/CO	MMITME	NT ORE	DER AO	-245 (6/74)
	In the presenthe defendan	ice of the attorno it appeared in pe	ey for the government			MONTH 4	DAY 1	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	• *	OUT COUNSEL	However the court have counsel appoin B. Grahat	ted by the court an	nt of right to coun nd the defendant the (Name of counsel)	relibon walved ass	hether defendar istance of cours	nt desired to
PLEA	GUILT	FY, and the cour s a factual basis	rt being satisfied that	NOI	LO CONTENDER	_,	iểt (895LTY	
	There being	a finding/verdic	t of { \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	GUILTY. Defend	dant is discharged	Jack C. Si U. S. DISTI	ilver, Clerk RICT COUR	T
FINDING & UUDGMENT	Defendant h Section	ias been convicte	(A GUIL) and as charged of the ol	TY. Ifense(s) of has ged in Cou	ing violat uts 1,2,7	ed Title & 8 of ti	18, U.S he Indic	.C., tment.
	was shown, o hereby comm	or appeared to the sitted to the custod	dant had anything to sail court, the court adjudging of the Attorney Generation (3)	ed the detendant g al or his authorized in the con-	d representative for in	mprisonment for a	period of	ball
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	>	be con period senten probat	fined in a j of Six (6) ce is hereby fon for a pe ce when the	Months, the puspender of Toda	he execution d and the d wo & One-Ki	on of the defendant alf (2 1/	remaind is plac 2) Tears	r a er of ed on , to
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	→	be con period senten probet commen ,7.48 - defend three with p	of Six (6) ice is hereby ion for a pe ice when the The impositi ant is hereb (3) years as probation imp	Houths, the puspender riod of Tode fendant on of sent y placed to each cosed in Co	tence is so count.	on of the lefendant alf (2 1/ ed from consponded on for a to run c	remaind is plac 2) Tears onfineme and the period o oncurren	r a er of ed on , to nt.
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS	SENTENC In addition reverse side	be con period senten probet common 7.58 defend three with p IT IS in pay K is defe to the special conc of this judgment to	of Six (6) ce is hereby ion for a pe ce when the The impositi ant is hereb (3) years as	Houths, the puspender of of Tode of To	tence is an ount i. the defendance by probation p.m. Deft.	on of the lefendant of the companded on for a to run continue. The companded of the compand	remaind is place?) Tears on fineme and the period of mourren full results on probability the period of	er of ed on , to nt. fittution

FILED IN OPEN COURT

APR - 1 1985

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Defendant.

OPDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts 3, 4, 5, and 6 of the Indictment against KAREN DENISE DONALD, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

No. 85-Cr-6-E

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Date: 4-1-85

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

FILED

Plaintiff,

APR 1 1985

vs.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

BOBBY JOE MCCASLIN,

No. 85-CR-16-B

Defendant.

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice the Information against BOBBY JOE MCCASLIN, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: April 1, 1985

•	MORTHERN I STRICT OF OKLAHOHA
FRANK LOUIS SASSATELLI	DOCKET NO. ► 84-CR-120-E
JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	TION/COMMITMENT ORDER A0.245 (6/74)
In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR 1 85
WITHOUT COUNSEL However the course have counsel appointed. WITH COUNSEL Jo Stanley G	
PLEA GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	(Name of counsel) NOLO CONTENDERE, APR 1 1985
There being a finding/varant There being a finding/varant Defendant has been convicted as charged of the off Section 656, as chargedin Coun	onsols of white parties and the state of the
	No. of the second secon
was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General COUNT 2 — Four (4) Years, one period of Six (5) I seatence is hereby probation for a period of six (5)	the cendition that the defendant shall limitype ar treatment institution for a period of the cendition that the defendant shall limitype ar treatment institution for a feether, the execution of the remainder of the cendent and the defendant is placed on
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL COUNTS Was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General Counts De confined in a judged hereby period of Six (6) is antence is hereby probation for a period commence when the counts and commence when the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General Counts and counts are considered and counts and counts are considered and counts and counts are considered and counts	the cendition that the defendant shall siletype ar treatment institution for a more shall siletype ar treatment institution for a mouths, the execution of the remainder of suspended and the defendant is placed on riod of Three a One-Half (3 1/2) Years, to defendant is released from confinement. I defendant make full restitution in the mass as determined by the Probation office
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL COMMITTIONS ADDITIONAL COMMITTIONS In addition to the special conditions of probation impo	the condition that the defendant shall listype ar treatment institution for a secution of the remainder of suspended and the defendant is placed on riod of Three & One-Helf (3 1/2) Years, to defendant is released from confinement. defendant make full restitution in the ate as determined by the Probation officement for sambling problem. sed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the years the conditions of probation, and mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE OPEN COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APR - 1 1985

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT CO
vs.	
FRANK LOUIS SASSATELLI,	
Defendant.) No. 84-CR-120-E

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts 1, 3, 4, and 5 of the Indictment against FRANK LOUIS SASSATELLI defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested counts of the Indictment.

United States District Judge

Date: april 1, 1985

United State	es of America vs.		ates District Court for
DEFENDANT	O AND AND THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL	FURTHE FE	ISTRICT OF OMLANGUA
	/	DOCKET NO.	Enlesed
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	V/COMMITM	IENT ORDER AO 245 (9 82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	3	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the court	and the defendant thereupon w	· · ·
	WITH COUNSEL Richard	Name of Counsel)	<u>1 1985</u>
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	_J NOLO CONTENDERE,	Lack G. SHVer, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
	There being a finding/yerdict of Cultry. De		
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of \$3372(a)(2)(A) and \$3373(d)(2) is a finite meation	having violate	d Title 16, U.S.C., U.S.C. §2 as charged
SENTENCE OR PROBATION	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgm was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defer hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General professional the court and the second se	ndant guilty as charged and considerative for imprisons the considerative for increase th	onvicted and ordered that: The defendant is நிற்குந்தில் இதி and assessment of
ORDER	\$25.00, fine and assessment to	e pain on or	Defore April 19, Ald D
SPECIAL CONDITIONS			
OF PROBATION			
			ere er a i san era
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, in reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change to at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation.	ne conditions of probation, re- obation period of five years p	duce or extend the period of probation, and
PROBATION	revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period	. 	
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney	General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
			CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
IGNED BY U.S. Dist	trict Judge		THIS DATE
U.S. Ma _l	gistrate		By— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
	Date		